# presented by Lars Grüne in FNT2015

Fukuoka Workshop on Nonlinear Control Theory 2015 December 13, 2015, Fukuoka, Japan



五 於年十 岡 F E

Technically supported by IEEE CSS Technical Committee on Nonlinear Systems and Control

## On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property

#### Lars Grüne

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth

currently visiting The University of Newcastle, Australia

joint work with Matthias A. Müller (Universität Stuttgart)

supported by **DFG** Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

FNT2015 Fukuoka Workshop on Nonlinear Control Theory December 13, 2015

#### Outline

- Dissipativity and strict dissipativity
- The turnpike property and its variants
- Known results
- New results and proof ideas



#### We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) = f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n)), \quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) = x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces



We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) = f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n)), \quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) = x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces

Brief notation  $x^+ = f(x, u)$ 



We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) = f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n)), \quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) = x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces

Brief notation 
$$x^+ = f(x, u)$$

Interpretation:

 $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \text{state of the system at time } t_n$ 



We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) = f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n)), \quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) = x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces

Brief notation 
$$x^+ = f(x, u)$$

Interpretation:

 $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \text{state of the system at time } t_n$  $\mathbf{u}(n) = \text{control acting from time } t_n \text{ to } t_{n+1}$ 



We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) = f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n)), \quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) = x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces

Brief notation  $x^+ = f(x, u)$ 

Interpretation:

- $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \text{state of the system at time } t_n$
- $\mathbf{u}(n) = \text{control acting from time } t_n \text{ to } t_{n+1}$
- *f* = solution operator of a controlled ODE/PDE or of a discrete time model



We consider nonlinear discrete time control systems

$$x_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1)=f(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n),\mathbf{u}(n)),\quad x_{\mathbf{u}}(0)=x$$

with  $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(n) \in U$ , X, U normed spaces

Brief notation  $x^+ = f(x, u)$ 

Interpretation:

- $x_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \text{state of the system at time } t_n$
- $\mathbf{u}(n) = \text{control acting from time } t_n \text{ to } t_{n+1}$
- f = solution operator of a controlled ODE/PDE or of a discrete time model (or a numerical approximation of one of these)



Dissipativity and strict dissipativity

$$x^+ = f(x, u)$$

Introduce functions  $s: X \times U \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda: X \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ 

- $s(x,u) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{supply rate, measuring the (possibly negative)} \\ \text{amount of energy supplied to the system via} \\ \text{the input } u \text{ in the next time step} \end{cases}$
- $\lambda(x) \geq 0 \qquad \mbox{ storage function, measuring the amount of} \\ \mbox{ energy stored inside the system when the system} \\ \mbox{ is in state } x \end{cases}$



**Definition** [Willems '72] The system is called dissipative if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  the inequality

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u)$$

holds



**Definition** [Willems '72] The system is called dissipative if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  the inequality

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u)$$

holds

The system is called strictly dissipative if there are  $x^e \in X$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  the inequality

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) - \alpha(\|x - x^e\|)$$

holds



**Definition** [Willems '72] The system is called dissipative if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  the inequality

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u)$$

holds

The system is called strictly dissipative if there are  $x^e \in X$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  the inequality

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) - \alpha(\|x - x^e\|)$$





$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) \left[ -\alpha(\|x - x^e\|) \right]$$

physical interpretation of [strict] dissipativity



$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) \left[ -\alpha(\|x - x^e\|) \right]$$

physical interpretation of [strict] dissipativity:

$$\lambda(x) =$$
energy stored in the system  $s(x, u) =$ energy supplied to the system



$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) \left[ -\alpha(\|x - x^e\|) \right]$$

physical interpretation of [strict] dissipativity:

$$\lambda(x)$$
 = energy stored in the system  
 $s(x, u)$  = energy supplied to the system

dissipativity: energy can only be dissipated (=lost) but not be generated inside the system



$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, u) \left[ -\alpha(\|x - x^e\|) \right]$$

physical interpretation of [strict] dissipativity:

$$\lambda(x) =$$
energy stored in the system  
 $s(x, u) =$ energy supplied to the system

dissipativity: energy can only be dissipated (=lost) but not be generated inside the system

strict dissipativity: a certain amount of energy, depending on  $\|x-x^e\|$  must be dissipated



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972]



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)

It was the result of the endeavour to generalise passivity



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)

It was the result of the endeavour to generalise passivity (passivity = dissipativity with  $s(x, u) = \langle y, u \rangle$ , where y = h(x) is the output of the system)



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)

It was the result of the endeavour to generalise passivity (passivity = dissipativity with  $s(x, u) = \langle y, u \rangle$ , where y = h(x) is the output of the system)

Passivity, in turn, is a classical property of electrical circuits which do not contain active elements



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)

It was the result of the endeavour to generalise passivity (passivity = dissipativity with  $s(x, u) = \langle y, u \rangle$ , where y = h(x) is the output of the system)

Passivity, in turn, is a classical property of electrical circuits which do not contain active elements

Strict (or strong) dissipativity is mentioned in [Willems '72] but is not so often used; strict passivity is more commonly found



Dissipativity was defined for continuous time systems in [Jan C. Willems, Dissipative Dynamical Systems, Part I & II, 1972] (this is one of the rare occasions in which the original paper can still be recommended as one of the best readings on the topic)

It was the result of the endeavour to generalise passivity (passivity = dissipativity with  $s(x, u) = \langle y, u \rangle$ , where y = h(x) is the output of the system)

Passivity, in turn, is a classical property of electrical circuits which do not contain active elements

Strict (or strong) dissipativity is mentioned in [Willems '72] but is not so often used; strict passivity is more commonly found

Translation to discrete time systems is quite straightforward [Byrnes/Lin '94]



### Dissipativity can be used for designing asymptotically stabilising feedback controllers



Dissipativity can be used for designing asymptotically stabilising feedback controllers, i.e., for finding a map u = F(x) such that  $x^+ = f(x, F(x))$  has an asymptotically stable equilibrium  $x^*$ :



Dissipativity can be used for designing asymptotically stabilising feedback controllers, i.e., for finding a map u = F(x) such that  $x^+ = f(x, F(x))$  has an asymptotically stable equilibrium  $x^*$ :

If we can construct F with s(x,F(x))<0 for  $x\neq x^*\text{, then}$ 

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, F(x)) < \lambda(x), \quad x \neq x^*$$

implies that  $\lambda$  becomes a Lyapunov function for the system



Dissipativity can be used for designing asymptotically stabilising feedback controllers, i.e., for finding a map u = F(x) such that  $x^+ = f(x, F(x))$  has an asymptotically stable equilibrium  $x^*$ :

If we can construct F with s(x,F(x))<0 for  $x\neq x^*\text{, then}$ 

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, F(x)) < \lambda(x), \quad x \neq x^*$$

implies that  $\lambda$  becomes a Lyapunov function for the system (in case of strict dissipativity with  $x^* = x^e$ , the non-strict inequality  $s(x, F(x)) \leq 0$  is sufficient)



Dissipativity can be used for designing asymptotically stabilising feedback controllers, i.e., for finding a map u = F(x) such that  $x^+ = f(x, F(x))$  has an asymptotically stable equilibrium  $x^*$ :

If we can construct F with s(x,F(x))<0 for  $x\neq x^*\text{, then}$ 

$$\lambda(x^+) \le \lambda(x) + s(x, F(x)) < \lambda(x), \quad x \neq x^*$$

implies that  $\lambda$  becomes a Lyapunov function for the system (in case of strict dissipativity with  $x^* = x^e$ , the non-strict inequality  $s(x, F(x)) \leq 0$  is sufficient)

Constructing F is particularly easy in case of passivity, because for  $s(x,u)=\langle y,u\rangle$  it suffices to define the output feedback F(y):=-y



Various stability properties can be formulated via dissipativity



Various stability properties can be formulated via dissipativity:

• (asymptotic) stability of the equilibrium  $x^e$  can be concluded for all solutions if the system is (strictly) dissipative,  $s(x, u) \leq 0$  and the storage function  $\lambda$  is bounded from below and above by  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ -functions in  $||x - x^e||$ 



Various stability properties can be formulated via dissipativity:

• (asymptotic) stability of the equilibrium  $x^e$  can be concluded for all solutions if the system is (strictly) dissipative,  $s(x, u) \le 0$  and the storage function  $\lambda$  is bounded from below and above by  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ -functions in  $||x - x^e||$  ( $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ -functions = unbounded  $\mathcal{K}$ -functions)



Various stability properties can be formulated via dissipativity:

- (asymptotic) stability of the equilibrium x<sup>e</sup> can be concluded for all solutions if the system is (strictly) dissipative, s(x, u) ≤ 0 and the storage function λ is bounded from below and above by K<sub>∞</sub>-functions in ||x x<sup>e</sup>|| (K<sub>∞</sub>-functions = unbounded K-functions)
- input-to-state stability of the equilibrium x<sup>e</sup> can be concluded if the system is strictly dissipative, s(x, u) is continuous and bounded from above by a *K*-function in ||u|| and the storage function λ is bounded from below and above by *K*<sub>∞</sub>-functions in ||x x<sup>e</sup>||



Various stability properties can be formulated via dissipativity:

- (asymptotic) stability of the equilibrium x<sup>e</sup> can be concluded for all solutions if the system is (strictly) dissipative, s(x, u) ≤ 0 and the storage function λ is bounded from below and above by K<sub>∞</sub>-functions in ||x x<sup>e</sup>|| (K<sub>∞</sub>-functions = unbounded K-functions)
- input-to-state stability of the equilibrium x<sup>e</sup> can be concluded if the system is strictly dissipative, s(x, u) is continuous and bounded from above by a *K*-function in ||u|| and the storage function λ is bounded from below and above by *K*<sub>∞</sub>-functions in ||x x<sup>e</sup>||

In both cases,  $\lambda$  is a Lyapunov function



Dissipativity is also a very useful tool for analysing networks of systems:


# Applications

Dissipativity is also a very useful tool for analysing networks of systems:

under suitable conditions, a network of (strictly) dissipative systems is (strictly) dissipative, itself



# Applications

Dissipativity is also a very useful tool for analysing networks of systems:

under suitable conditions, a network of (strictly) dissipative systems is (strictly) dissipative, itself

Finally, strict dissipativity plays a major role in the analysis of so called economic model predictive control schemes (details later)



Theorem [Willems '72, Byrnes/Lin '94] A system is [strictly] dissipative with supply rate s [and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ] if and only if

$$\sup_{K,\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} -s(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k),\mathbf{u}(k)) \left[ +\alpha(\|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k)-x^e\|) \right] < \infty$$

for all  $x = x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) \in X$ .



Theorem [Willems '72, Byrnes/Lin '94] A system is [strictly] dissipative with supply rate s [and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ] if and only if

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K,\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} -s(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k),\mathbf{u}(k)) \left[ +\alpha(\|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\|) \right] < \infty$$

for all  $x = x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) \in X$ .



Theorem [Willems '72, Byrnes/Lin '94] A system is [strictly] dissipative with supply rate s [and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ] if and only if

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K,\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} -s(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k),\mathbf{u}(k)) \left[ +\alpha(\|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\|) \right] < \infty$$

for all  $x = x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) \in X$ . In this case,  $\lambda$  is a storage function



Theorem [Willems '72, Byrnes/Lin '94] A system is [strictly] dissipative with supply rate s [and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ] if and only if

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K,\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} -s(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k)) \left[ +\alpha(\|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\|) \right] < \infty$$

for all  $x = x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) \in X$ . In this case,  $\lambda$  is a storage function

The proof of this theorem essentially relies on the dynamic programming principle



Theorem [Willems '72, Byrnes/Lin '94] A system is [strictly] dissipative with supply rate s [and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ ] if and only if

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K,\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} -s(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k)) \left[ +\alpha(\|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\|) \right] < \infty$$

for all  $x = x_{\mathbf{u}}(0) \in X$ . In this case,  $\lambda$  is a storage function

The proof of this theorem essentially relies on the dynamic programming principle

The particular storage function defined above is called "available storage"



The turnpike property describes a behaviour of (approximately) optimal trajectories for a finite horizon optimal control problem

minimise 
$$J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n))$$



The turnpike property describes a behaviour of (approximately) optimal trajectories for a finite horizon optimal control problem

minimise 
$$J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n))$$

Informal description: an (approximately) optimal trajectory stays near an equilibrium  $x^e$  most of the time



The turnpike property describes a behaviour of (approximately) optimal trajectories for a finite horizon optimal control problem

minimise 
$$J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \mathbf{u}(n))$$

Informal description: an (approximately) optimal trajectory stays near an equilibrium  $x^e$  most of the time

We illustrate the property by two simple examples



## Example 1: minimum energy control

**Example**: Keep the state of the system inside a given interval X minimising the quadratic control effort

$$\ell(x,u) = u^2$$

with dynamics

$$x^+ = 2x + u$$

and spaces X = [-2, 2], U = [-3, 3]



## Example 1: minimum energy control

**Example:** Keep the state of the system inside a given interval X minimising the quadratic control effort

$$\ell(x,u) = u^2$$

with dynamics

$$x^+ = 2x + u$$

and spaces X = [-2, 2], U = [-3, 3]

For this example, the closer the state is to  $x^e = 0$ , the cheaper it is to keep the system inside X



## Example 1: minimum energy control

**Example:** Keep the state of the system inside a given interval X minimising the quadratic control effort

$$\ell(x,u) = u^2$$

with dynamics

$$x^+ = 2x + u$$

and spaces X = [-2, 2], U = [-3, 3]

For this example, the closer the state is to  $x^e = 0$ , the cheaper it is to keep the system inside X

 $\rightsquigarrow$  optimal trajectory should stay near  $x^e = 0$ 















































Minimise the finite horizon objective with

 $\ell(x, u) = -\ln(Ax^{\alpha} - u), \quad A = 5, \ \alpha = 0.34$ 

with dynamics  $x^+ = u$ 

on X = U = [0, 10]



Minimise the finite horizon objective with

$$\ell(x, u) = -\ln(Ax^{\alpha} - u), \quad A = 5, \ \alpha = 0.34$$

with dynamics  $x^+ = u$ 

on X = U = [0, 10]

Here the optimal trajectories are less obvious



Minimise the finite horizon objective with

$$\ell(x, u) = -\ln(Ax^{\alpha} - u), \quad A = 5, \ \alpha = 0.34$$

with dynamics  $x^+ = u$ 

on X = U = [0, 10]

Here the optimal trajectories are less obvious On infinite horizon, it is optimal to stay at the equilibrium  $x^e \approx 2.2344$  with  $\ell(x^e, u^e) \approx 1.4673$ 



Minimise the finite horizon objective with

$$\ell(x, u) = -\ln(Ax^{\alpha} - u), \quad A = 5, \ \alpha = 0.34$$

with dynamics  $x^+ = u$ 

on X = U = [0, 10]

Here the optimal trajectories are less obvious

On infinite horizon, it is optimal to stay at the equilibrium

 $x^{e} \approx 2.2344$  with  $\ell(x^{e}, u^{e}) \approx 1.4673$ 

One may thus expect that finite horizon optimal trajectories also stay for a long time near that equilibrium

Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 17












































## The turnpike property: formal definitions Let $x^e$ be an equilibrium, i.e., $f(x^e, u^e) = x^e$



Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 19

# The turnpike property: formal definitions Let $x^e$ be an equilibrium, i.e., $f(x^e, u^e) = x^e$

Define the optimal value function  $V_N(x) := \inf_u J_N(x, u)$ 



The turnpike property: formal definitions Let  $x^e$  be an equilibrium, i.e.,  $f(x^e, u^e) = x^e$ 

Define the optimal value function  $V_N(x) := \inf_u J_N(x, u)$ 

Turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , all optimal trajectories  $x^*$  with  $x^*(0) = x$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_{\varepsilon} &:= \#\{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \mid \|x^{\star}(k) - x^{e}\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_{\varepsilon} \geq N - C/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 



The turnpike property: formal definitions Let  $x^e$  be an equilibrium, i.e.,  $f(x^e, u^e) = x^e$ 

Define the optimal value function  $V_N(x) := \inf_u J_N(x, u)$ 

Turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , all optimal trajectories  $x^*$  with  $x^*(0) = x$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $Q_{\varepsilon} := \#\{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \mid ||x^{\star}(k) - x^{e}|| \le \varepsilon\}$  satisfies  $Q_{\varepsilon} \ge N - C/\rho(\varepsilon)$ 

Near optimal turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$ with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

$$Q_{\varepsilon} := \#\{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \mid ||x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^{\varepsilon}|| \le \varepsilon\}$$

satisfies  $Q_{\varepsilon} \ge N - (C + \delta)/\rho(\varepsilon)$ 

Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 19

#### • Apparently first described by [von Neumann 1945]



- Apparently first described by [von Neumann 1945]
- Name "turnpike property" coined by [Dorfman/Samuelson/Solow 1957]



- Apparently first described by [von Neumann 1945]
- Name "turnpike property" coined by [Dorfman/Samuelson/Solow 1957]
- Extensively studied in the 1970s in mathematical economy, cf. survey [McKenzie 1983]



- Apparently first described by [von Neumann 1945]
- Name "turnpike property" coined by [Dorfman/Samuelson/Solow 1957]
- Extensively studied in the 1970s in mathematical economy, cf. survey [McKenzie 1983]
- Renewed interest in recent years [Zaslavski '14, Trélat/Zuazua '15, Faulwasser et al. '15, ...]



Economists are interested in the turnpike property because it gives structural insight about optimal economic equilibria and the optimal trajectories' tendency to stay near them



Economists are interested in the turnpike property because it gives structural insight about optimal economic equilibria and the optimal trajectories' tendency to stay near them

The finite horizon turnpike property at an equilibrium is also closely related to the convergence of infinite horizon optimal trajectories towards this equilibrium



The turnpike property can be used for the synthesis of optimal trajectoried on long time horizons



The turnpike property can be used for the synthesis of optimal trajectoried on long time horizons:

Knowing that the system has the turnpike property allows to reduce the computation task to computing the equilibrium and the best way to approach it and to leave it



The turnpike property can be used for the synthesis of optimal trajectoried on long time horizons:

Knowing that the system has the turnpike property allows to reduce the computation task to computing the equilibrium and the best way to approach it and to leave it

Ideas of this type can be found, e.g., in [Anderson/Kokotovic '87]



#### Application: Model predictive control

Turnpike properties are also pivotal for analysing economic Model Predictive Control (MPC) schemes



#### Application: Model predictive control

Turnpike properties are also pivotal for analysing economic Model Predictive Control (MPC) schemes

MPC is a method in which an optimal control problem on an infinite horizon

minimise 
$$J_{\infty}(x,\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(n),\mathbf{u}(n))$$

is approximated by the iterative solution of finite horizon problems

minimise 
$$J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k))$$

with fixed  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 









Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 24



























 $\mathsf{red} = \mathsf{MPC} \mathsf{ closed} \mathsf{ loop}$ 





 $\mathsf{red} = \mathsf{MPC} \mathsf{ closed} \mathsf{ loop}$ 



















#### Approximation result for MPC

If the finite horizon problems have the turnpike property, then a rigorous approximation result can be proved



#### Approximation result for MPC

If the finite horizon problems have the turnpike property, then a rigorous approximation result can be proved

The results exploits that the "red" closed loop trajectory approximately follows the first part of the "black" predictions up to the equilibrium



#### Approximation result for MPC

If the finite horizon problems have the turnpike property, then a rigorous approximation result can be proved

The results exploits that the "red" closed loop trajectory approximately follows the first part of the "black" predictions up to the equilibrium

We illustrate this behaviour by our second example for  ${\cal N}=10$ 



#### MPC for Example 2





Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 26




















































































































We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 



We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable, then the near optimal turnpike property holds



We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable, then the near optimal turnpike property holds

(In fact, similar statements can be found in earlier papers and monographs, e.g. in [Carlson/Haurie/Leizarowitz '91])



We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable, then the near optimal turnpike property holds

Idea of proof: Strict dissipativity implies that the rotated cost  $\tilde{\ell}(x,u) = \ell(x,u) - \ell(x^e,u^e) + \lambda(x) - \lambda(f(x,u))$ satisfies  $\tilde{\ell}(x,u) \ge \alpha(\|x-x^e\|)$ .



We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable, then the near optimal turnpike property holds

Idea of proof: Strict dissipativity implies that the rotated cost  $\tilde{\ell}(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e) + \lambda(x) - \lambda(f(x, u))$ satisfies  $\tilde{\ell}(x, u) \ge \alpha(||x - x^e||)$ . Boundedness of  $\lambda$  implies that  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k))$  and  $\tilde{J}_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\ell}(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k))$ differ only by a constant independent of N



We say that  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable if there is E > 0 such that  $V_N(x) \le N\ell(x^e, u^e) + E$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable, then the near optimal turnpike property holds

Idea of proof: Strict dissipativity implies that the rotated cost  $\tilde{\ell}(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e) + \lambda(x) - \lambda(f(x, u))$ satisfies  $\tilde{\ell}(x, u) \ge \alpha(||x - x^e||)$ . Boundedness of  $\lambda$  implies that  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k))$  and  $\tilde{J}_N(x, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\ell}(x_{\mathbf{u}}(k), \mathbf{u}(k))$ differ only by a constant independent of N

⇒ if  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  stays away from  $x^e$  for  $K \leq N$  steps,  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) - N\ell(x^e, u^e)$ grows unboundedly as  $K \to \infty$ , contradicting near optimality
#### Question

# **Conclusion**: Strict dissipativity can be used as a checkable condition for the turnpike property



## Question

Conclusion: Strict dissipativity can be used as a checkable condition for the turnpike property

Question: How conservative is this condition, i.e., how much stronger is strict dissipativity than the turnpike property?



## Question

Conclusion: Strict dissipativity can be used as a checkable condition for the turnpike property

Question: How conservative is this condition, i.e., how much stronger is strict dissipativity than the turnpike property?

In fact, the theorem just presented relies on another theorem which does not require cheap reachability



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_{\varepsilon} &:= \#\{k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^{e}\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_{\varepsilon} \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_\varepsilon &:= \#\{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_\varepsilon \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function, then the near equilibrium turnpike property holds



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_\varepsilon &:= \#\{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_\varepsilon \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function, then the near equilibrium turnpike property holds

Note: the turnpike properties only differ in the condition on  $J_N$ 



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_\varepsilon &:= \#\{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_\varepsilon \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function, then the near equilibrium turnpike property holds

Note: the turnpike properties only differ in the condition on  $J_N$ : Turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x)$ 



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_\varepsilon &:= \#\{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_\varepsilon \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function, then the near equilibrium turnpike property holds

Note: the turnpike properties only differ in the condition on  $J_N$ : Turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x)$ Near optimal turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x) + \delta$ 



Near equilibrium turnpike property: There is C > 0 and  $\rho \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , all trajectories  $x_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number

 $\begin{aligned} Q_\varepsilon &:= \#\{k \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \,|\, \|x_{\mathbf{u}}(k) - x^e\| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \text{satisfies } Q_\varepsilon \geq N - (C+\delta)/\rho(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Theorem [Gr. '13] If the system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) - \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function, then the near equilibrium turnpike property holds

Note: the turnpike properties only differ in the condition on  $J_N$ : Turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x)$ Near optimal turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq V_N(x) + \delta$ Near equilibrium turnpike property:  $J_N(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq N\ell(x^e, u^e) + \delta$ UN EXAMPLE THE LARS Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 30

#### New results

#### Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

(a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function



Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and  $x^e$  is uniformly near optimal, i.e., there is D > 0 with  $V_N(x) \ge N\ell(x^e, u^e) - D$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$



Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and  $x^e$  is uniformly near optimal, i.e., there is D > 0 with  $V_N(x) \ge N\ell(x^e, u^e) D$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$
- (c) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and the system is dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u) = \ell(x,u) \ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function



Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and  $x^e$  is uniformly near optimal, i.e., there is D > 0 with  $V_N(x) \ge N\ell(x^e, u^e) - D$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$
- (c) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and the system is dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u) = \ell(x,u) \ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function

In other words, the near equilibrium turnpike property exactly closes the gap between dissipativity and strict dissipativity



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $x^e$
- (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $x^e$
- (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follows by straightforward computation using the available storage for " $\Rightarrow$ "



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $\boldsymbol{x}^e$
- (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follows by straightforward computation using the available storage for " $\Rightarrow$ "

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from the known result from [Gr. '13]



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $x^e$  (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follows by straightforward computation using the available storage for " $\Rightarrow$ "

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from the known result from [Gr. '13]

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from a rather technical construction of  $\alpha$  in the strict dissipativity condition, using the available storage



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $x^e$  (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follows by straightforward computation using the available storage for " $\Rightarrow$ "

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from the known result from [Gr. '13]

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from a rather technical construction of  $\alpha$  in the strict dissipativity condition, using the available storage

Question: Can we get rid of dissipativity in (c)?



We need to prove the equivalences of

- (a) strict dissipativity
- (b) near equilibrium turnpike and uniform near optim. of  $x^e$  (c) near equilibrium turnpike and dissipativity

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follows by straightforward computation using the available storage for " $\Rightarrow$ "

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from the known result from [Gr. '13]

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from a rather technical construction of  $\alpha$  in the strict dissipativity condition, using the available storage

Question: Can we get rid of dissipativity in (c)? Yes, if we use that the near equilibrium turnpike property induces an averaged form of optimality of  $x^e$  which under additional conditions implies dissipativity

[Müller '14, Müller/Angeli/Allgöwer '13]



Corollary: Assume X is closed and U is compact,  $\ell$  is continuous and bounded from below,  $x^e$  is an equilibrium around which the system is locally controllable and  $u^e \in \operatorname{argmin}\{\ell(x^e, u) \mid x^e \in X, f(x^e, u) = x^e\}$ 



Corollary: Assume X is closed and U is compact,  $\ell$  is continuous and bounded from below,  $x^e$  is an equilibrium around which the system is locally controllable and  $u^e \in \operatorname{argmin}\{\ell(x^e, u) \mid x^e \in X, f(x^e, u) = x^e\}$ 

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds



Corollary: Assume X is closed and U is compact,  $\ell$  is continuous and bounded from below,  $x^e$  is an equilibrium around which the system is locally controllable and  $u^e \in \operatorname{argmin}\{\ell(x^e, u) \mid x^e \in X, f(x^e, u) = x^e\}$ 

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u) = \ell(x,u) \ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds

Question: Is local controllability really needed?



$$x^{+} = \frac{1}{2}x$$
 and  $\ell(x, u) = u^{2} + \frac{\log 2}{\log |x|}$ 

The system has (all three kinds of) the turnpike property at  $x^e = 0$ , because all solutions converge to 0



$$x^{+} = \frac{1}{2}x$$
 and  $\ell(x, u) = u^{2} + \frac{\log 2}{\log |x|}$ 

The system has (all three kinds of) the turnpike property at  $x^e = 0$ , because all solutions converge to 0

However, one computes that the available storage satisfies

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K, u} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} - \left( \ell(x(k), u(k)) - \ell(x^e, u^e) \right) = \infty,$$

because  $\log |x| \to -\infty$  too slowly as  $|x| \to 0$ 



$$x^{+} = \frac{1}{2}x$$
 and  $\ell(x, u) = u^{2} + \frac{\log 2}{\log |x|}$ 

The system has (all three kinds of) the turnpike property at  $x^e = 0$ , because all solutions converge to 0

However, one computes that the available storage satisfies

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K, u} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} - \left(\ell(x(k), u(k)) - \ell(x^e, u^e)\right) = \infty,$$

because  $\log |x| \to -\infty$  too slowly as  $|x| \to 0$ . Hence the system is not dissipative and thus also not strictly dissipative



$$x^{+} = \frac{1}{2}x$$
 and  $\ell(x, u) = u^{2} + \frac{\log 2}{\log |x|}$ 

The system has (all three kinds of) the turnpike property at  $x^e = 0$ , because all solutions converge to 0

However, one computes that the available storage satisfies

$$\lambda(x) := \sup_{K,u} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} - \left(\ell(x(k), u(k)) - \ell(x^e, u^e)\right) = \infty,$$

because  $\log |x| \to -\infty$  too slowly as  $|x| \to 0$ . Hence the system is not dissipative and thus also not strictly dissipative

Since all other assumptions of the previous corollary are satisfied, it is the lack of controllability which makes its statement fail

## Towards new result III

Recall the first two equivalences in the first theorem:

Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u) = \ell(x,u) \ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and the equilibrium is uniformly near optimal



## Towards new result III

Recall the first two equivalences in the first theorem:

Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u)=\ell(x,u)-\ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and the equilibrium is uniformly near optimal

Can we replace the near equilibrium turnpike property by the (more intuitive and "classical") near optimal turnpike property?



## Towards new result III

Recall the first two equivalences in the first theorem:

Theorem: The following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x,u) = \ell(x,u) \ell(x^e,u^e)$  and bounded storage function
- (b) The near equilibrium turnpike property holds and the equilibrium is uniformly near optimal

Can we replace the near equilibrium turnpike property by the (more intuitive and "classical") near optimal turnpike property? Yes, but again we need additional assumptions



Theorem: Assume  $\ell$  is bounded and the system is locally controllable around  $x^e$ 

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable
- (b) The near optimal turnpike property holds and  $\boldsymbol{x}^e$  is uniformly near optimal



Theorem: Assume  $\ell$  is bounded and the system is locally controllable around  $x^e$ 

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable
- (b) The near optimal turnpike property holds and  $x^e$  is uniformly near optimal

Idea of proof: cheap reachability and uniform near optimality of  $x^e$ , respectively, allow to pass from the near equilibrium to the near optimal turnpike property and vice versa



Theorem: Assume  $\ell$  is bounded and the system is locally controllable around  $x^e$ 

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The system is strictly dissipative with supply rate  $s(x, u) = \ell(x, u) \ell(x^e, u^e)$  and bounded storage function and  $x^e$  is cheaply reachable
- (b) The near optimal turnpike property holds and  $x^e$  is uniformly near optimal

Idea of proof: cheap reachability and uniform near optimality of  $x^e$ , respectively, allow to pass from the near equilibrium to the near optimal turnpike property and vice versa

Note: the implication "(b)  $\Rightarrow$  strict dissipativity" also holds without assuming local controllability



#### Analogy to Lyapunov functions

There is an obvious analogy between the equivalences

turnpike property  $\Leftrightarrow\,$  existence of a storage function and

asymptotic stability  $\Leftrightarrow$  existence of a Lyapunov function



#### Analogy to Lyapunov functions There is an obvious analogy between the equivalences

turnpike property  $\Leftrightarrow\,$  existence of a storage function and

asymptotic stability  $\Leftrightarrow$  existence of a Lyapunov function

However, there is also a subtle but important difference



## Analogy to Lyapunov functions

There is an obvious analogy between the equivalences

turnpike property  $\Leftrightarrow\,$  existence of a storage function and

asymptotic stability  $\Leftrightarrow$  existence of a Lyapunov function

However, there is also a subtle but important difference:

The defining inequality for the storage function must hold for all trajectories but the turnpike behaviour only holds for particular trajectories


# Analogy to Lyapunov functions

There is an obvious analogy between the equivalences

turnpike property  $\Leftrightarrow\,$  existence of a storage function and

asymptotic stability  $\Leftrightarrow$  existence of a Lyapunov function

However, there is also a subtle but important difference:

The defining inequality for the storage function must hold for all trajectories but the turnpike behaviour only holds for particular trajectories

In contrast, the existence of a Lyapunov function yields asymptotic stability for all trajectories for which its defining inequality holds



Application: shape of turnpike trajectories As usually defined, the turnpike property only limits the number of time instances at which the trajectory is outside an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $x^e$ 



Application: shape of turnpike trajectories As usually defined, the turnpike property only limits the number of time instances at which the trajectory is outside an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $x^e$ 

Hence, according to the definition, a turnpike trajectory could look like this





Application: shape of turnpike trajectories As usually defined, the turnpike property only limits the number of time instances at which the trajectory is outside an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $x^e$ 

Hence, according to the definition, a turnpike trajectory could look like this However, in practice in many examples turnpike trajectories look like this





Lars Grüne, On the relation between dissipativity and the turnpike property, p. 39

#### Application: shape of turnpike traiectories







#### Application: shape of turnpike traiectories



This is because under the stated conditions the turnpike property implies strict dissipativity, which in turn implies stability of the optimal trajectories, in the sense that if  $x^*(k) \approx x^e$  then  $x^*(k+p) \approx x^e$  for k, p sufficiently small relative to N [Gr. 13]



#### Application: shape of turnpike traiectories



This is because under the stated conditions the turnpike property implies strict dissipativity, which in turn implies stability of the optimal trajectories, in the sense that if  $x^*(k) \approx x^e$  then  $x^*(k+p) \approx x^e$  for k, p sufficiently small relative to N [Gr. 13]

# This excludes excursions from $\boldsymbol{x}^e$ except at the end of the optimal trajecory

UNIVERSIT<sup>7</sup> BAYREUTH

• We have established equivalence relations between two classical properties from mathematical systems theory and optimal control, respectively



- We have established equivalence relations between two classical properties from mathematical systems theory and optimal control, respectively
- Under a local controllability condition, equivalence between strict dissipativity and the near equilibrium turnpike property holds



- We have established equivalence relations between two classical properties from mathematical systems theory and optimal control, respectively
- Under a local controllability condition, equivalence between strict dissipativity and the near equilibrium turnpike property holds
- Under appropriate bounds on the value function (i.e., cheap reachability and uniform near optimality of x<sup>e</sup>), this extends to the near optimal turnpike property



- We have established equivalence relations between two classical properties from mathematical systems theory and optimal control, respectively
- Under a local controllability condition, equivalence between strict dissipativity and the near equilibrium turnpike property holds
- Under appropriate bounds on the value function (i.e., cheap reachability and uniform near optimality of x<sup>e</sup>), this extends to the near optimal turnpike property
- The results precisely describe the gap between strict dissipativity and turnpike properties



- We have established equivalence relations between two classical properties from mathematical systems theory and optimal control, respectively
- Under a local controllability condition, equivalence between strict dissipativity and the near equilibrium turnpike property holds
- Under appropriate bounds on the value function (i.e., cheap reachability and uniform near optimality of x<sup>e</sup>), this extends to the near optimal turnpike property
- The results precisely describe the gap between strict dissipativity and turnpike properties
- As a consequence, assuming strict dissipativity for ensuring the turnpike property does not seem overly conservative



## References

L. Grüne and M. Müller, On the relation between strict dissipativity and the turnpike property, submitted Preprint available from eref.uni-bayreuth.de/15456/

L. Grüne, *Economic receding horizon control without terminal constraints*, Automatica, 49, 725–734, 2013

M. A. Müller, *Distributed and economic model predictive control: beyond setpoint stabilization*, PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart, Germany, 2014

M. A. Müller, D. Angeli, and F. Allgöwer, *On convergence of averagely constrained economic MPC and necessity of dissipativity for optimal steady-state operation*, Proceedings of the ACC 2013, 3141–3146

L. Grüne, M. Stieler, *Asymptotic stability and transient optimality of economic MPC without terminal conditions*, Journal of Process Control, 24 (Special Issue on Economic MPC), 1187–1196, 2014

